

FORM 4 – CICP-ADVANCED RECREDENTIALLING PEER REVIEW FORM

The reviewer must send this form directly to office@acipc.org.au

Peer Reviewer's Name:

Peer Reviewer's Position and Organisation:

Credentialling Applicant's Name:

Date of Review:

REVIEWER STATEMENTS

**For CAPS
Assessment only**

What is your professional relationship to the applicant?

- Applicant's supervisor Applicant's client Met Not Met
 Applicant's professional colleague Other (Specify)

How long have you known the applicant in a professional capacity? _____ (years) Met Not Met

In what capacity have you worked closely with the applicant? Met Not Met

Please acknowledge your willingness to handle all information associated with this application in confidence in accordance with College policy. Met Not Met

- Yes No

ELEMENT – Role and Practice

**For CAPS
Assessment only**

Describe how the applicant's practice and role demonstrates they have maintained an active scope of practice as a Advanced CICP and led one element of the infection control program in one of the following areas: Met Not Met

- a) Outbreak management; or
- b) Quality improvement activity; or
- c) Policy and procedure development implementation/review; or
- d) Education project and activities; or
- e) Governance; or
- e) Other program element.

Peer Reviewer Comments:

ELEMENT – Mentoring and Networking

**For CAPS
Assessment only**

Describe how the applicant has actively engaged in networking with peers and undertaken mentoring from colleagues, including other Advanced and/or Expert credentialed ICPs, that has resulted in their professional growth and development.

Met Not Met

Peer Reviewer Comments:

ELEMENT – Giving Back

**For CAPS
Assessment only**

Describe how the applicant has actively contributed to the profession and the College through a range of professional activities that include, but are not limited to, active committee membership, research, conference presentation.

Met Not Met

Please comment on the extent to which these have enhanced the applicant's professional standing and enabled them to identify their areas of expertise as an Advanced ICP.

Peer Reviewer Comments:

OTHER PEER REVIEWERS COMMENTS